



REVISTA INCLUSIONES

NUEVOS AVANCES Y MIRADAS DE LA CIENCIA

Revista de Humanidades y Ciencias Sociales

Número Especial Julio / Septiembre

2019

ISSN 0719-4706

**REVISTA
INCLUSIONES**
REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

CUERPO DIRECTIVO

Directores

Dr. Juan Guillermo Mansilla Sepúlveda

Universidad Católica de Temuco, Chile

Dr. Francisco Ganga Contreras

Universidad de Los Lagos, Chile

Subdirectores

Mg © Carolina Cabezas Cáceres

Universidad de Las Américas, Chile

Dr. Andrea Mutolo

Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Editor

Drdo. Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Editor Científico

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo

Pontifícia Universidade Católica de São Paulo, Brasil

Editor Brasil

Drdo. Maicon Herverton Lino Ferreira da Silva

Universidade da Pernambuco, Brasil

Editor Europa del Este

Dr. Alekzandar Ivanov Katrandjiev

Universidad Suroeste "Neofit Rilski", Bulgaria

Cuerpo Asistente

Traductora: Inglés

Lic. Pauline Corthorn Escudero

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Traductora: Portugués

Lic. Elaine Cristina Pereira Menegón

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

Portada

Sr. Felipe Maximiliano Estay Guerrero

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía, Chile

COMITÉ EDITORIAL

Dra. Carolina Aroca Toloza

Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Jaime Bassa Mercado

Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

Dra. Heloísa Bellotto

Universidad de São Paulo, Brasil

**CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA
EDITORIAL**

Dra. Nidia Burgos

Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina

Mg. María Eugenia Campos

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Francisco José Francisco Carrera

Universidad de Valladolid, España

Mg. Keri González

Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México, México

Dr. Pablo Guadarrama González

Universidad Central de Las Villas, Cuba

Mg. Amelia Herrera Lavanchy

Universidad de La Serena, Chile

Mg. Cecilia Jofré Muñoz

Universidad San Sebastián, Chile

Mg. Mario Lagomarsino Montoya

Universidad Adventista de Chile, Chile

Dr. Claudio Llanos Reyes

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Dr. Werner Mackenbach

Universidad de Potsdam, Alemania

Universidad de Costa Rica, Costa Rica

Mg. Rocío del Pilar Martínez Marín

Universidad de Santander, Colombia

Ph. D. Natalia Milanesio

Universidad de Houston, Estados Unidos

Dra. Patricia Virginia Moggia Münchmeyer

Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Ph. D. Maritza Montero

Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela

Dra. Eleonora Pencheva

Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Dra. Rosa María Regueiro Ferreira

Universidad de La Coruña, España

Mg. David Ruete Zúñiga

Universidad Nacional Andrés Bello, Chile

Dr. Andrés Saavedra Barahona

Universidad San Clemente de Oírid de Sofía, Bulgaria

Dr. Efraín Sánchez Cabra
Academia Colombiana de Historia, Colombia

Dra. Mirka Seitz
Universidad del Salvador, Argentina

Ph. D. Stefan Todorov Kapralov
South West University, Bulgaria

COMITÉ CIENTÍFICO INTERNACIONAL

Comité Científico Internacional de Honor

Dr. Adolfo A. Abadía
Universidad ICESI, Colombia

Dr. Carlos Antonio Aguirre Rojas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Martino Contu
Universidad de Sassari, Italia

Dr. Luiz Alberto David Araujo
Pontifícia Universidad Católica de São Paulo, Brasil

Dra. Patricia Brogna
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Horacio Capel Sáez
Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Javier Carreón Guillén
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Lancelot Cowie
Universidad West Indies, Trinidad y Tobago

Dra. Isabel Cruz Ovalle de Amenabar
Universidad de Los Andes, Chile

Dr. Rodolfo Cruz Vadillo
Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla, México

Dr. Adolfo Omar Cueto
Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina

Dr. Miguel Ángel de Marco
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Emma de Ramón Acevedo
Universidad de Chile, Chile

Dr. Gerardo Echeita Sarrionandia
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, España

Dr. Antonio Hermosa Andújar
Universidad de Sevilla, España

Dra. Patricia Galeana
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dra. Manuela Garau
Centro Studi Sea, Italia

Dr. Carlo Ginzburg Ginzburg
Scuola Normale Superiore de Pisa, Italia
Universidad de California Los Ángeles, Estados Unidos

Dr. Francisco Luis Girardo Gutiérrez
Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano, Colombia

José Manuel González Freire
Universidad de Colima, México

Dra. Antonia Heredia Herrera
Universidad Internacional de Andalucía, España

Dr. Eduardo Gomes Onofre
Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Brasil

Dr. Miguel León-Portilla
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Miguel Ángel Mateo Saura
*Instituto de Estudios Albacetenses "Don Juan Manuel",
España*

Dr. Carlos Tulio da Silva Medeiros
Diálogos em MERCOSUR, Brasil

+ Dr. Álvaro Márquez-Fernández
Universidad del Zulia, Venezuela

Dr. Oscar Ortega Arango
Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México

Dr. Antonio-Carlos Pereira Menaut
Universidad Santiago de Compostela, España

Dr. José Sergio Puig Espinosa
Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dra. Francesca Randazzo
*Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras,
Honduras*

**REVISTA
INCLUSIONES**
REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

Dra. Yolando Ricardo
Universidad de La Habana, Cuba

Dr. Manuel Alves da Rocha
Universidade Católica de Angola Angola

Mg. Arnaldo Rodríguez Espinoza
Universidad Estatal a Distancia, Costa Rica

Dr. Miguel Rojas Mix
*Coordinador la Cumbre de Rectores Universidades
Estatales América Latina y el Caribe*

Dr. Luis Alberto Romero
CONICET / Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Maura de la Caridad Salabarría Roig
Dilemas Contemporáneos, México

Dr. Adalberto Santana Hernández
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Dr. Juan Antonio Seda
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dr. Saulo Cesar Paulino e Silva
Universidad de Sao Paulo, Brasil

Dr. Miguel Ángel Verdugo Alonso
Universidad de Salamanca, España

Dr. Josep Vives Rego
Universidad de Barcelona, España

Dr. Eugenio Raúl Zaffaroni
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Blanca Estela Zardel Jacobo
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Comité Científico Internacional

Mg. Paola Aceituno
Universidad Tecnológica Metropolitana, Chile

Ph. D. María José Aguilar Idañez
Universidad Castilla-La Mancha, España

Dra. Elian Araujo
Universidad de Mackenzie, Brasil

Mg. Rumyana Atanasova Popova
Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

**CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA
EDITORIAL**

Dra. Ana Bénard da Costa
Instituto Universitario de Lisboa, Portugal
Centro de Estudios Africanos, Portugal

Dra. Alina Bestard Revilla
*Universidad de Ciencias de la Cultura Física y el
Deporte, Cuba*

Dra. Noemí Brenta
Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dra. Rosario Castro López
Universidad de Córdoba, España

Ph. D. Juan R. Coca
Universidad de Valladolid, España

Dr. Antonio Colomer Vialdel
Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, España

Dr. Christian Daniel Cwik
Universidad de Colonia, Alemania

Dr. Eric de Léséulec
INS HEA, Francia

Dr. Andrés Di Masso Tarditti
Universidad de Barcelona, España

Ph. D. Mauricio Dimant
Universidad Hebreo de Jerusalén, Israel

Dr. Jorge Enrique Elías Caro
Universidad de Magdalena, Colombia

Dra. Claudia Lorena Fonseca
Universidad Federal de Pelotas, Brasil

Dra. Ada Gallegos Ruiz Conejo
Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Perú

Dra. Carmen González y González de Mesa
Universidad de Oviedo, España

Ph. D. Valentín Kitanov
Universidad Suroeste Neofit Rilski, Bulgaria

Mg. Luis Oporto Ordóñez
Universidad Mayor San Andrés, Bolivia

Dr. Patricio Quiroga
Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile

**REVISTA
INCLUSIONES**
REVISTA DE HUMANIDADES
Y CIENCIAS SOCIALES

Dr. Gino Ríos Patio
Universidad de San Martín de Porres, Per

Dr. Carlos Manuel Rodríguez Arrechavaleta
Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. Vivian Romeu
Universidad Iberoamericana Ciudad de México, México

Dra. María Laura Salinas
Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Argentina

Dr. Stefano Santasilia
Universidad della Calabria, Italia

Mg. Silvia Laura Vargas López
Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, México

**CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA
EDITORIAL**

Dra. Jacqueline Vassallo
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina

Dr. Evandro Viera Ouriques
Universidad Federal de Río de Janeiro, Brasil

Dra. María Luisa Zagalaz Sánchez
Universidad de Jaén, España

Dra. Maja Zawierzeniec
Universidad Wszechnica Polska, Polonia

Editorial Cuadernos de Sofía
Santiago – Chile
Representante Legal
Juan Guillermo Estay Sepúlveda Editorial

Indización, Repositorios y Bases de Datos Académicas

Revista Inclusiones, se encuentra indizada en:





REX



UNIVERSITY OF
SASKATCHEWAN



BIBLIOTECA UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN



**CULTIVATING LEADERSHIP IN UNIVERSITY STUDENTS THROUGH SOCIOCULTURAL
ACTIVITIES: ANALYSIS AND EMPERICAL STUDY**

G. V. Palatkina

Astrakhan State University, Russia

I. V. Tarasova

Astrakhan State University, Russia

A. S. Dzhangaziyeva

Astrakhan State University, Russia

A. A. Sharonov

Astrakhan State University, Russia

A. A. Podlipalin

Astrakhan State University, Russia

V. V. Palatkin

Astrakhan State University, Russia

Fecha de Recepción: 18 de marzo de 2019 – **Fecha Revisión:** 22 de abril de 2019

Fecha de Aceptación: 26 de junio de 2019 – **Fecha de Publicación:** 01 de julio de 2019

Abstract

Authors examine factors, psychological and pedagogical conditions, objective and subjective factors for student leadership development. Main methods and techniques for cultivating student leadership have been identified. These are interactive methods, case studies, masterclasses, formative techniques, innovative techniques, educational techniques. The article presents an empirical study of student leadership levels in the Astrakhan State University and the Astrakhan State Medical University. To meet the objectives, mathematical-statistical data-processing methods were applied, including calculation of descriptive statistics, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample test, the Student's test for dependent and independent samples, the Mann-Whitney test, the Wilcoxon test. The main results of the experiment are that the students' leadership level in the experimental group has changed as a result of the students' participation in business games, teamwork, role plays, discussions, engagement in the university public activities, and through students' enriching their own leadership experience via extracurricular activities. Summing up the results, we consider it necessary to note the following: the complexity of the leadership phenomenon, and the multivariety of its components, factors, and conditions of its dynamics, require to select methods for each of its components separately.

Keywords

Leader – Student – Conditions – Factors – Sociocultural means

Para Citar este Artículo:

Palatkina, G. V.; Tarasova, I. V.; Dzhangaziyeva, A. S.; Sharonov, A. A.; Podlipavin, A. A. y Palatkin, V. V. Cultivating leadership in university students through sociocultural activities: analysis and emperical study. Revista Inclusiones Vol: 6 num Esp Jul-Sep (2019): 141-149.

Introduction

Emerging new economic and social paradigms, evolving knowledge society, the need to respond to present-day cultural and global crises lead to the fact that the modern society has a growing need for leaders. At the same time, youth engagement in the man-made sociocultural reality deepens the youth socialization crisis ever more¹. All of the above calls for new quality requirements in higher education which normally focuses on training qualified performers.

In her article, V. Bedina examines the personality attributes of a leader, i.e. individually personal attributes, organizational and managerial attributes, sociopsychological attributes, and perceptive leadership attributes².

Analyzing the problem of leadership, R. Bales and F. Fiedler identified two leadership styles: emotional (adjusts interpersonal relations within a group) and instrumental (manages activity on group's achievement of goals)³.

These studies show that manifestation of one or the other style may be conditioned on the one hand, by individual characteristics of a leader (character, temperament, talents, system of values), and on the other hand, by internal group environment, as well as wider sociocultural context where specific means of sociocultural activity are performed.

Aims and goals of the study

The aim of this study is the theoretical analysis and empirical study of the problem of leadership formation of the high school student by means of sociocultural activity.

The main aims of the study

1. The elaboration of the leadership concept.
2. The analysis of the formation of the leadership formation of the high school student in the space of sociocultural activity.
3. The diagnosis of the facts and conditions of the formation of high school student's leadership.

Methods/Methodological basis

The concept of leadership has been studied both in foreign and Russian pedagogics and psychology. In their works, A. Makarenko, B. Parygin, and A. Ershov focus on stating the problem of leadership. A. Makarenko studied the problem of leadership in close relation to the group characteristics, to its goals, in the first place. B. Parygin defines leadership as one of the processes for organizing and managing a small

¹ S. Kryuchkova; S. Khrapov; Y. Mironova; N. Tyrnova and O. Leonova, "The Russian Public Consciousness Metamorphoses in Conditions of Technogenic Sociocultural Reality", Journal of History Culture and Art Research, Vol: 6 num 4 (017): 1365-1373. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.7596/taksad.v6i4.1194>

² V. Yu Bedina, "Valuable and semantic model of influence of the young leader on the intra collective relations", Social and economic phenomena and processes, Vol: 6 num 9 (2014): 85-90.

³ S. O. Bulgarevich, "Formation of the leader in the course of vocational training of future teacher of physical culture". Theory and practice of physical culture, num 7 (2010): 89-92.

social group. A. Ershov understands the concept of leadership as “a phenomenon definitely close to authority, but not the same”.

The research of G. McCall and J. Simmons sees involvement of team spirit as a result of the leadership process⁴. J. Gardner sees leadership as a process of persuasion or an example that a person or a leadership team uses to encourage a group to achieve goals, both set by a leader and mutually supported by a leader and their followers⁵.

R. Heifetz claimed that the concept of leadership includes our own perceptions and moral codes⁶.

Sociologists consider leadership an important attribute of a group's structure⁷.

M. Belbin, in particular, underscores the emotional maturity of a leader and their readiness to direct and lead⁸.

The review of Russian and foreign psychological and pedagogical literature demonstrates the need to cultivate student leadership by developing a set of psychological and pedagogical conditions. A. Yudina suggests that at the moment one of the most pressing issues of present-day society is to develop conditions for youth's positive socialization⁹. Moreover, leadership talent noted by biographers of prominent political leaders can manifest itself only under certain social conditions¹⁰. In our research, university pedagogical conditions shall be understood as a set of necessary measures that contribute to the efficient cultivation of students' leadership attributes within the process of education.

It is the pedagogical interaction in a university that serves as the main condition for an efficient process of education.

University sociocultural environment that enables students to unveil their creative and leadership talent influences the cultivation of students' leadership attributes. A university creates pedagogical conditions to develop students' talents and cultural intelligence. These include university music bands, choirs, music classes, clubs. University sociocultural environment is what enables students to unfold their creative and leadership potential. V. Samartseva supposes that a leader emerges within a group in a gradual manner. The selection of a leader within a group is impacted by objective and subjective factors.

Objective factor: a group member showing their best during the activity of a group emerges as its leader.

⁴ G. J. McCall and J. L. Simmons. Social Psychology: A Sociological Approach (New York: 1982).

⁵ J. W. Gardner, On Leadership (New York: 1990).

⁶ R. A. Heifetz, Leadership without Easy Answers (Cambridge: Mass, 1998).

⁷ J. C. Coleman and C. L. Hammen. The Individual and the Group. A Study of Organizational Leadership (Harrisburg: 1976).

⁸ M. Belbin, Team Roles at Work (Oxford: London/Boston, 1993).

⁹ A. I. Yudina and A. S. Telmanova, “Pedagogical potential of the welfare environment of the modern industrial city”, Modern problems of science and education, num 6 (2013).

¹⁰ P. D. Bathory, Leadership in America. Consensus Corruption and Charisma (New York: 1978).

Subjective factor: a person that emerges as a leader has to possess personality attributes necessary to perform a leading role within a group (active, energetic, willful, decisive, intelligent, knows how to bring others under command). Main methods and techniques for cultivating student leadership include interactive methods (round tables, discussions, debates, brainstorming, business games and role plays), case studies (analyzing specific situations, situational analysis), masterclasses, formative techniques, innovative techniques (socio-pedagogical projects), educational techniques (lectures, placements, conferences, guided tours)¹¹.

Engagement in various situations is what helps to cultivate students' organizational and leadership skills and abilities necessary for future professional activities.

Diagnostic assessment of factors and conditions for cultivating student leadership

Lack of clearly defined requirements towards content and result of cultivating professional behavior, lack of methods and means to assess communication activity and motivation activity make it necessary to develop criteria for students' leadership assessment.

A criterion is an indication, a ground, a rule for decision making at the core of the assessment process.

In our study, we suggest using the following parameters to assess the criteria of students' leadership level:

- communication parameter (rate of communicative aptitude is assessed);
- application parameter (abilities and skills to apply leadership development strategies within and outside curriculum activities and ability to apply one's professional qualifications in non-standard situations are assessed);
- motivation parameter (assesses the rates of students' motivation towards success, internal preparedness to take decisions, willingness to perform a leading role, interest towards the activity of a leader, willingness to act).

The experimental study took place between September 2016 and April 2017. It was conducted according to a designed model of student leadership cultivation within the domain of sociocultural activity. The study included three stages: *diagnostic assessment* (defining student leadership level), *process and substance* (carrying out activities), and *analysis and prognosis* (drawing and analyzing results). Diagnostic assessment and experiment were conducted in the Federal State Budgetary Educational Institution of Higher Education Astrakhan State University and the Federal State Budgetary Educational Institution of Higher Education Astrakhan State Medical University. 172 students participated in the study. The experimental sample included first-year students. The experimental study was conducted for two groups of students: experimental group (86 students of the Astrakhan State University) and control group (86 students of the Astrakhan State Medical University). The experiment was conducted in two different groups in order to define whether specially organized activities impact student leadership cultivation within the domain of sociocultural activity. Empirical

¹¹ G. V. Palatkina and E. A. Zaychenko, "Innovative technologies of formation of leadership of students of higher education institution in space of welfare activity". Psychology and Psychoequipment, num (2016): 290-297.

study data was processed using mathematical-statistical data-processing methods, including calculation of descriptive statistics, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample test, the dependent sample Student's test, the non-parametric Wilcoxon test, the Pearson and Spearman correlation. All calculations were performed via the IBM SPSS Statistics 21 computer program. Where r stands for correlation coefficient, and p describes significance level $p \leq 0,05$ – valid results $0,05 < p \leq 0,1$ – tendency towards connection. Mathematical-statistical methods, including calculation of descriptive statistics, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample test, the dependent and independent sample Student's test for dependent and independent samples, Mann-Whitney test, Wilcoxon test were used to meet the objectives.¹² All calculations were performed via the IBM SPSS Statistics 21 computer program.

Results and discussion

Using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample test we validated distribution normality of quantitative variables (Table 1). Distribution normality of "motivation towards success" variable after validation number I and II corresponds with the normal law.

Variables	Z – value of the test	Significance level
Self-management (before the experiment)	1,756	0,004
Sense of purpose (I know what I want) (before the experiment)	2,202	0,000
Problem-solving skills(before the experiment)	1,462	0,028
Creativity (before the experiment)	2,043	0,000
Influencing others (before the experiment)	1,671	0,008
Familiarity with organizational work standards (before the experiment)	1,540	0,017
Organizational skills (before the experiment)	1,692	0,007
Teamwork skills (before the experiment)	2,106	0,000
Motivation towards success (before the experiment)	1,040	0,229
Self-management (after the experiment)	1,756	0,004
Sense of purpose (I know what I want) (after the experiment)	2,202	0,000
Problem-solving skills (after the experiment)	1,462	0,028
Creativity (after the experiment)	2,043	0,000
Influencing others (after the experiment)	1,671	0,008
Familiarity with organizational work standards (after the experiment)	1,540	0,017
Organizational skills (after the experiment)	1,692	0,007
Teamwork skills (after the experiment)	2,106	0,000
Motivation towards success (after the experiment)	1,040	0,229

Table 1
Validation of distribution normality

¹² S. V. Merzlyakova, Bases of scientific research: statistical analysis of data (Astrakhan: 2015).

We used the independent sample Student's test (motivation towards success) and the Mann-Whitney test (other variables) in order to assess the validity of differences between the control and experimental groups before the experiment. Table 2 shows that no valid differences were found.

Variables	Average value		Test value	Significance level
	CG	EG		
Leadership level (before the experiment)	7,2791	7,8721	U = 3217,000	0,134
Communicative-organizational skills (before the experiment)	3,0000	3,3140	U = 3263,000	0,173
Self-management(before the experiment)	15,1395	14,0581	U = 3104,500	0,068
Sense of purpose (I know what I want) (before the experiment)	16,1047	15,3372	U = 3230,000	0,149
Problem-solving skills (before the experiment)	17,3721	16,3372	U = 3269,500	0,188
Creativity (before the experiment)	15,7326	16,3023	U = 3288,000	0,206
Influencing others (before the experiment)	14,5814	14,2442	U = 3395,500	0,352
Familiarity with organizational work standards (before the experiment)	14,4535	14,9419	U = 3410,000	0,376
Organizational skills (before the experiment)	15,6628	14,8488	U = 3147,000	0,090
Teamwork skills (before the experiment)	16,5581	15,9884	U = 3199,000	0,124
Motivation towards success (before the experiment)	18,5116	18,3023	t = 0,361	0,719

Table 2
Assessment of the validity of differences before the experiment

We used the dependent sample Student's test (motivation towards success) and Wilcoxon test table 3 in order to assess the validity of the shift in the experimental group before the experiment. Differences in statistical significance were found for such variables as leadership level, communicative aptitude, self-management, problem-solving skills.

Variables	Average value		Test value	Significance level
	Before the experiment	After the experiment		
Leadership level	7,8721	8,3023	T = - 3,508	0,000
Communicative-organizational skills	3,3140	3,5465	T = - 2,724	0,006
Self-management	14,0581	14,7674	T = - 2,680	0,007
Sense of purpose (I know what I want)	15,3372	15,4302	T = - 1,854	0,064
Problem-solving skills	16,3372	17,0349	T = - 2,032	0,042

Creativity	16,3023	16,3605	T = - 0,921	0,357
Influencing others	14,2442	14,4767	T = - 1,826	0,068
Familiarity with organizational standards	14,9419	15,1977	T = - 1,826	0,068
Organizational skills	14,8488	15,0581	T = - 1,604	0,109
Teamwork skills	15,9884	16,3140	T = - 1,841	0,066
Motivation towards success	18,3023	18,4651	t = - 1,691	0,094

Table 3
The assessment of the validity of the shift in the experimental group

We assessed the validity of the shift in the control group in the same way table 4. Differences in statistical significance were found for such variables as sense of purpose, problem-solving skills.

Variables	Average value		Test value	Significance level
	Before the experiment	After the experiment		
Leadership level	7,2791	7,3023	T = - 0,577	0,564
Communicative-organizational skills	3,0000	2,9535	T = - 1,633	0,102
Self-management	15,1395	15,0814	T = - 0,816	0,414
Sense of purpose (I know what I want)	16,1047	16,8372	T = - 3,076	0,002
Problem-solving skills	17,3721	18,0116	T = - 2,060	0,039
Creativity	15,7326	15,6860	T = - 0,535	0,593
Influencing others	14,5814	14,4767	T = - 1,342	0,180
Familiarity with organizational standards	14,4535	14,4419	T = - 0,447	0,655
Organizational skills	15,6628	15,6279	T = - 0,816	0,414
Teamwork skills	16,5581	16,5233	T = - 1,342	0,180
Motivation towards success	18,5116	18,5233	t = - 0,199	0,843

Table 4
The assessment of the validity of the shift in the control group

After the experiment, we compared the control and experimental groups. Table 5 shows that valid differences were found for such variables as leadership level, communicative aptitude, sense of purpose (I know what I want) (after the experiment).

Variables	Average value		Test value	Significance level
	CG	EG		
Leadership level	7,3023	8,3023	U = 2671,500	0,001
Communicative-organizational skills	2,9535	3,5465	U = 2898,000	0,012
Self-management	15,0814	14,7674	U = 3527,000	0,599
Sense of purpose (I know what I want)	16,8372	15,4302	U = 2890,500	0,013
Problem-solving skills	18,0116	17,0349	U = 3262,000	0,180
Creativity	15,6860	16,3605	U = 3177,500	0,109
Influencing others	14,4767	14,4767	U = 3593,000	0,746
Familiarity with organizational work standards	14,4419	15,1977	U = 3230,000	0,150
Organizational skills	15,6279	15,0581	U = 3272,500	0,190
Teamwork skills	16,5233	16,3140	U = 3416,500	0,385
Motivation towards success	18,5233	18,4651	t = 0,101	0,92

Table 5
Assesment of the validity of the differences after the experiment

The experiment had an impact on such variables as leadership level and communicative aptitude.

Conclusion

Based on the results, we can draw the following conclusions:

The leadership activity level and the communicative level in the experimental group increased.

There were no qualitative or quantitative changes in the control group (compared to the results in the first stage of the experiment).

The students' leadership level in the experimental group has changed as a result of the students' participation in business games, teamwork, role plays, discussions, engagement in the university public activities, and through students' enriching their own leadership experience via extracurricular activities.

Summing up the results, we consider it necessary to note the following: the complexity of the leadership phenomenon, and the multivariety of its components, factors, and conditions of its dynamics, require to select methods for each of its components separately (communication, motivation, activity).

References

- Bathory, P. D. Leadership in America. Consensus Corruption and Charisma. New York: 1978.
- Bedina, V. Yu. "Valuable and semantic model of influence of the young leader on the intra collective relations". Social and economic phenomena and processes, Vol: 6 num 9 (2014): 85-90.
- Belbin, M. Team Roles at Work. Oxford: London/Boston. 1993
- Bulgarevich, S. O. "Formation of the leader in the course of vocational training of future teacher of physical culture". Theory and practice of physical culture, num 7 (2010): 89-92.
- Coleman, J. C. and Hammen, C. L. The Individual and the Group. A Study of Organizational Leadership. Harrisburg: 1976.
- Gardner, J. W. On Leadership. New York: 1990.
- Heifetz, R. A. Leadership without Easy Answers. Cambridge: Mass. 1998.
- Kryuchkova, S.; Khrapov, S.; Mironova, Y.; Tyrnova, N. and Leonova, O. "The Russian Public Consciousness Metamorphoses in Conditions of Technogenic Sociocultural Reality". Journal of History Culture and Art Research, Vol: 6 num 4 (017): 1365-1373. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.7596/taksad.v6i4.1194>
- McCall, G. J. and Simmons, J. L. Social Psychology: A Sociological Approach. New York: 1982.
- Merzlyakova, S. V. Bases of scientific research: statistical analysis of data. Astrakhan. 2015.
- Palatkina, G. V. and Zaychenko, E. A. "Innovative technologies of formation of leadership of students of higher education institution in space of welfare activity". Psychology and Psychoequipment, num (2016): 290-297.
- Yudina, A. I. and Telmanova, A. S. "Pedagogical potential of the welfare environment of the modern industrial city". Modern problems of science and education, num 6 (2013).

CUADERNOS DE SOFÍA EDITORIAL

Las opiniones, análisis y conclusiones del autor son de su responsabilidad
y no necesariamente reflejan el pensamiento de la **Revista Inclusiones**.

La reproducción parcial y/o total de este artículo
debe hacerse con permiso de **Revista Inclusiones**.